

RECEIVED

NOV 2 4 2004

Technology Center 2600

Commissioner for Patents Attn: Jean B. Corrielus, Examiner P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Re: Application No.: 10/027,234

Dear Mr. Corrielus:

Per our phone conversation on November 16, 2004 and your instructions, I am returning to you the office action in connection with the above-referenced application number.

Jane Gallant Secretary

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov NOV 1 9 2004 CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. JNG DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. 9405 GES.025C Norman Franklin Krasner 10/027,234 **EXAMINER** 09/20/2004 7590 CORRIELUS, JEAN B VOLENTINE FRANCOS, P.L.L.C. SUITE 150 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 12200 SUNRISE VALLEY DRIVE VOLENTINE FRANCOS 2637 RESTON, VA 20191 P.L.L.C. DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004

SEP 2 7 2004

Received

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

NOV 2 4 2004

Technology Center 2600

() BA		Ar.
10116	Application No.	Applicant(s)
NOV 1 9 2004 &	10/027,234	KRASNER, NORMAN FRANKLIN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
TRADEWISH	Jean B Corrielus	2631
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 29 J - 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the Disposition of Claims 4) ■ Claim(s) 3,4,11,16-18 and 21 is/are pending in	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fiver, cause the application to become ABANDO grade of this communication, even if timely a section is non-final. In the application.	CH(S) FROM e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133). filed, may reduce any prosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	RECEIVED
5) Claim(s) <u>4,11,16-18 and 21</u> is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) 3 is/are rejected.		NOV 2 4 2004
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	Technology Center 2600
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Ition is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) Output	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: receiving said one or more first preamble information bits after detecting said one initial detection sequence; receiving said one or more second preamble information bits after detecting said one unique word, recited in claim 3, lines 11-14, claim 4, lines 11-14 and claim 11, lines 11-14, do not have proper antecedent basis in the specification.

Claim Objections

Claims 3, 4 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities.
 Claim 3, line 7, before bits, "p" should be deleted. The same comment applied to claims
 4 and 11, line 7, respectively. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/027,234 Page 3

Art Unit: 2637

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claim 3 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 of U.S. Patent No.6, 373,899. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claim of the pending application is clearly encompassed by claim. Given that, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to modify the claims as shown in the pending application in such a way to broaden the scope of the claim so as to minimize cost to implement the system.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4, 11, 16-18 and 21 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Examiner's comment

6. Under the double patenting rejection in the last office action, the examiner inadvertently referred to a wrong reference number. This office action is being issued to correct the same. In addition, in reference to section "1" above, note the claims and line numbers where the limitations can be found.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/027,234

Art Unit: 2637

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean B. Corrielus whose telephone number is (571) 272-3020. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel, can be reached on (571) 272-3086.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Primary Examiner

TC-2600 9/16/04